1 * "			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/646,183	BREINER ET AL	
and makes may	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) Peter Szekejy.	(3)		
(2) <u>Barbara_Schwalger</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 January 2004	Time: 11:00 a.m.		
	ant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Anticipation and obviousness			
Claims discussed: 1-21			
Prior and documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The claims will be restricted to an aqueous medium. Claims 16 and 19 will be canceled.			
Part III.			
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Motice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all sexus. A best summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
for Comments			
(Examiner/SPE'Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appro	opriate)
U.S. Prilant and Tendermark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Examiner Initiate	d Interview Summary	Paper	No. 20040107

Application No.

Applicant(e)